

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,516	02/06/2001	Bernard Aspar	BREV 12370 CON3	BREV 12370 CON3 8626	
75	90 01/03/2002				
Hayes, Soloway, Hennessey,			EXAMINER		
Grossman & Ha 175 Canal Stree	t		COLLINS, DEVEN M		
Manchester, NH	1 03101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2823		
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_		1-
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		. 09/777,516	ASPAR ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		D. M. Collins	2823	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover she t with the c	correspond nce address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on O6 F	<u>-ebruary 2001</u> .		
2a) ☐	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under			its is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
·	Claim(s) 13-20 is/are pending in the application	on.		
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>13-20</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
	ion Papers	·		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊡ accep		miner.	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)⊠ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14) [ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional applic	cation).
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has been rec	eived.	•
Attachmen	<del>-</del>	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
1) Notice 2) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 16	5) Notice of Informal F	v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Serial Number: 09/777516

Art Unit: 2823

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 13-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6,225,192. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of application 09/777516 show a method of producing a thin layer of semiconductor material. Similarly, from U.S. Patent No. 6,225,192, a method of producing a thin layer of semiconductor material is shown. Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the need for thin films with good mechanical

Serial Number: 09/777516 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

characteristics to avoid surface defects by thermally treating ion implanted microcavities.

## Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Deven M. Collins whose telephone number is (703) 305-7840.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy, can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

**DMC** 

December 21, 2001

George Rourson
Primary Examiner
2822